# Contents

1. LOCATION

# 2. STAFF CONTACT DETAILS

3. COURSE DETAILS

| Student Learning Outcomes                      | Error! Bookmark not defined. |
|--|------------------------------|
| AITSL Professional Graduate Teaching Standards | Error! Bookmark not defined. |
| National Priority Area Elaborations            |                              |

- 4. RATIONALE FOR THE INCLUSION OF CONTENT AND TEACHING APPROACH
- 5. TEACHING STRATEGIES
- 6. COURSE CONTENT AND STRUCTURE
- 7. COURSE ASSESSMENTS
- 8. RESOURCES

IMPORTANT : For student policies and procedures relating to assessment, attendance and student support, please see website, https://education.arts.unsw.edu.au/students/courses/course-outlines/

| 3<br>12 Mar -<br><b>16 Mar</b> | NB IEC visit is on Friday 16 March 9-12 am.<br>This is to enable all students to return to<br>campus in time for other classes.<br><u>Role and Function of Intensive English</u><br><u>Centres (I.E.C.s)</u><br>Visit to Beverly Hills I.E.C.<br>Melvin St, Beverly Hills NSW 2209<br>NPAE<br>A. 4, 8<br>D. 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 11,14, 15, 17, 18, 19<br>E. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9<br>F. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11 | Compulsory Reading for Week 4<br>De Courcy, M. et al (2012). Teaching<br>EAL/D Learners in Australian<br>Classrooms. PETAA: Sydney<br>Pauline Gibbons, <i>English Learners'</i><br><i>Academic Literacy and Thinking</i><br>Chapter 5<br>ACARA ESL Teacher Resources<br><u>http://www.acara.edu.au/curriculum/stud</u><br><u>ent-diversity/english-as-an-additional-<br/>Language-or-dialect</u> |
|--------------------------------|--|--|
| 4<br>19 Mar -<br>23 Mar        | <u>Theories and Research</u><br><u>related to teaching EAL/D learners</u><br>First /Second Language Acquisition;<br>Comprehensible Input; Schema theory;<br>The Mode Continuum; Zones of Proximal<br>Development; Scaffolding<br>NPAE<br>D. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 14,15,19<br>E. 1, 2, 3, 9<br>F. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11  | <ol> <li>Discussion of readings for weeks<br/>3 and 4</li> <li>Creating a High challenge/ High<br/>support classroom resource,<br/>using ICT</li> <li>Compulsory Reading for Week 5:<br/>Pauline Gibbons, <i>English Learners</i><br/><i>Academic Literacy and Thinking</i><br/>Chapter 8</li> </ol>   |
| 5<br>26 Mar -<br>30 Mar        | Teaching EAL/D Students Across the KLAs:Features of Academic LanguageTeaching EAL/D Learners in the KLA EnglishThe BOS 7-10 English SyllabusLinking the Scales to the English SyllabusLinking Stage 3 to Stage 4NPAEA 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 11C. 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9,10, 12D. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8,13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19E. 2, 3, 4, 7F. 5, 7, 8, 11  | Ass 2 Preparation: Modeling and<br>practice making tasks using ICT to<br>support EAL/D students across<br>KLAs<br><b>Quiz on reading for week 5</b><br><b>Compulsory Reading for Week 6:</b><br>Pauline Gibbons, <i>English Learners</i><br><i>Academic Literacy</i> Ch 7  |

## Mid-Semester Break

|         | EAL/D Pedagogy: Theory into Practice    | 1) Discussion of reading Wk 6             |
|---------|---|---|
|         | Teacher/Student Talk                    | 2) Groups design a lesson related         |
|         | Interactive Task Design                 | to one of the student profiles            |
| 6       | The EAL/D Teaching Sequence             | <ol><li>Develop a speaking task</li></ol> |
| 9 Apr – | NPAE                                    |   |
| 13 Apr  | A 4, 5, 8,                              |   |
| 107.01  | C. 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 14             | Compulsory Reading for Week 7:            |
|         | D. 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11, 15, 16, 17, 19 | Pauline Gibbons, English Learners         |
|         | E. 2, 3, 4, 6                           | Academic Literacy and Thinking Chapter    |
|         | F. 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9                     | 5   |

## 6. COURSE ASSESSMENTS

| Assessment<br>Task             | Length        | Weight | Learning<br>Outcomes<br>Assessed | AITSL<br>Standards              | National Priority Area<br>Elaborations | Due Date |
|--------------------------------|---------------|--------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|----------|
| Assessment 1                   | 2 000         |        |                                  | 1.2, 1.3, 1.5,<br>2.1, 2.2, 2.5 |  |          |
| Adjust two<br>lesson plans for | Words<br>max. | 40%    |                                  | 2.1, 2.2, 2.3                   |  |          |
| EAL/D learners                 |               |        | 1 - 6                            |                                 |  |          |

## ASSESSMENT 1 (40%) Adapting TWO lesson plans to support EAL/D learners in a KLA. 2,000 words equivalent

### Due: Thursday 12 April 2018

- 1. Adapt one lesson plan from a KLA so that it incorporates EAL/D teaching strategies that are supportive of EAL/D learners but remain faithful to the learning outcomes of the KLA.
  - $\leftarrow$  Include the use of ICT
  - Use the ESL Scales

### UNSW SCHOOL OF EDUCATION FEEDBACK SHEET EDST6704 EAL/D METHOD 1: ASSESSMENT 1

| Student Name:  | Student No.:               |    |      |
|--|----------------------------|----|------|
| Assessment Task: Adapting two lesson plans                                 |                            |    |      |
| SPECIFIC CRITERIA  | (-                         | +) | ≻(-) |
| Understanding of the question or issue and the key co                      | oncepts involve            |    |      |
| Demonstrates an ability to adjust a lesson plan to suit                    | EAL/D learners             |    |      |
| <ul> <li>Demonstrates ability to compare differentiated teachin</li> </ul> | g methodologies for        |    |      |
| different EAL/D learners   |                            |    |      |
| Depth of analysis and/or critique in response to the tas                   | sk                         |    |      |
| Plan carefully and implement engaging and goal orien                       | ted teaching               |    |      |
| approaches for EAL/D learners which address the goa                        | als of the original lesson |    |      |
| Using ICT demonstrates knowledge of teaching strate                        | gies such as interactive   |    |      |
| tasks, activating schema, building student's vocabular                     | y and field knowledge,     |    |      |
| use of visual aids and ways of scaffolding students to                     | new language skills,       |    |      |
| knowledge and understanding with a consistent focus                        | on what the students       |    |      |
| need to learn.   |                            |    |      |
| Shows evidence of critical analysis and reflection.                        |                            |    |      |
| Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or r                    | esearch literature         |    |      |
| used to support response   |                            |    |      |
| <ul> <li>Demonstrates a thorough analysis and insightful unde</li> </ul>   | 0                          |    |      |
| theoretical underpinnings of EAL/D and EAL/D pedage                        | ogy and is able to justify |    |      |
| clearly strategies used in the teaching approach.                          |                            |    |      |
| <ul> <li>Demonstrates thorough knowledge of prescribed texts</li> </ul>    |                            |    |      |
| implement their pedagogies in relation to the students                     |                            |    |      |
| Structure and organisation of response                                     |                            |    |      |
| Uses SED lesson plan template  |                            |    |      |
| Presentation of response according to appropriate aca                      | ademic and linguistic      |    |      |
| conventions  |                            |    |      |
| Explains strengths and challenges of the original less                     | on using clear standard    |    |      |
| Australian English   |                            |    |      |

Lecturer

# Assessment 2 (3 500 wd eq, 60%)

Prepare an outline for a unit of work for a class with level 3/4 learners. The unit of work should cover the first <u>five</u> lessons; however, you are not preparing full lesson plans.

- <
- <
- Υ.
- <
- <
- <
- <

### UNSW SCHOOL OF EDUCATION FEEDBACK SHEET - EDST6704 EAL/D METHOD 1

| Student Name:                               |  |
|---|--|
| Assessment Task 2: Designing a unit of work |  |

Student No.:

| SPECIFIC CRITERIA  | (+) | ──≻ (-) |
|--|-----|---------|
| <ul> <li>Understanding of the question or issue and the key concepts involve</li> <li>Demonstrates knowledge of EAL/D teaching progression and ways of scaffolding students for new language skills, knowledge and understanding with a consistent focus on what the students need to learn.</li> </ul>            |     |         |
| Depth of analysis and/or critique in response to the task  |     |         |
| <ul> <li>Demonstrates a capacity to plan for engaging and goal-oriented lessons<br/>for EAL/D learners which address the learning intentions and language<br/>outcomes required for EAL/D students at these levels</li> <li>Demonstrates an ability to create appropriate teaching sequence</li> </ul>             |     |         |
| Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature  |     |         |
| used to support response   |     |         |
| <ul> <li>Demonstrates knowledge and understanding of how to incorporate ICT into classroom teaching practice to address learning outcomes.</li> <li>Demonstrates an ability to clearly describe all stages of the lesson</li> <li>Demonstrates ability to map all aspects of teaching to EAL/D pedagogy</li> </ul> |     |         |
| Structure and organisation of response<br>Rationale is clear and well organised  |     |         |
| Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and   | ļ   |         |
| linguistic conventions   |     |         |

linguistic conventions

### 8. RESOURCES

The Flipped Classroom;

http://www.teacherstandards.aitsl.edu.au/Illustrations/ViewIOP/IOP00173/index.html

**TPACK** (created by Dr. Matthew Koehler and Dr. Punya Mishra <u>http://www.tpack.org/</u>), Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) is a framework that identifies the knowledge teachers need to teach effectively with technology.

**S A M R** (created by Dr. Ruben R. Puentedura); provides a framework to answer the question of what types of technology use would have greater or lesser effects upon student learning. **Rural & Distance Education NSW**: A local resource presenting both frameworks,

### http://rde.nsw.edu.au/tpack-samr

Teaching Teachers for the Future - What is TPACK? , http://www.ttf.edu.au/what-is-tpack/what25&pan af tpack.html

Reflections of pre-service teachers, <u>http://www.ttf.edu.au/psts-talk.html</u>; this series of video clips shows the reflections of several pre-service teachers each of whom trialled one of the twelve Teaching Teachers for the Future (TTF) Australian Curriculum resource packages with a practicum class. At the end of their lesson the pre-service teachers were invited to reflect on the experience of working36ithOthSpearoafce package and adapting it to their class situation. They were also asked to reflect on theissatteents of TPACK.

Student teachers are encouraged to set up their own blog (It is free) at Edublog , <u>http://edublogs.org/</u> to create and share resources and lessons they create.

#### **Required Readings**

Pauline Gibbons (2009). English Learners Academic Literacy and Thinking Learning in the Challenge Zone, Heinemann

ESL Scales (1994). Curriculum Corporation

ACARA (2014) English as an Additional Language or Dialect Teacher Resource: EAL/D overview and advice. <u>http://www.acara.edu.au/curriculum/student\_diversity/eald\_teacher\_resource.html</u>

#### Further Readings

Anstey, M. & Bull, G. (2006). Teaching and learning multiliteracies: Changing times, changing literacies. Curriculum Press, Melbourne.

Attwood, B. (2005). Telling the truth about Aboriginal history. All and Unwin, Crows Nest.

Droga, L. & Humphrey, **\$a\$2005**)oGrammar and the Organisation of Meaning, Target texts, Berry, Australia.

Dufficy, P. (2005). Designing learning for Diverse Classrooms, PETA, NSW

Finger, G., Russell, G., Jamieson-Proctor, R. & Russell, N. (2006) *Transforming Learning with ICT Making IT Happen*. Pearson Australia

Gibbons, P. (2002). Scaffolding Language Scaffolding Learning, Heinemann

Harrison, N. (2008). Teaching and learning in Indigenous education. Oxford, Sydney.

Henderson, R. (2012). Teaching Literacies. Pedagogies and Diversity in the Middle Years, Oxfor

Price, K (2012), Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Education: An Introduction for the Teaching Profession. Cambridge University PressSharpe, T. (2004). So what is 'special' about an EAL/D Teacher?