

School of Education

EDST5120 Advanced Qualitative Research

Term 3, 2019

Contents

1.	LOCATION	2
2.	STAFF CONTACT DETAILS	2
3	COURSE DETAILS	2
	STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES	3
	PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOMES	3
	AITSL PROFESSIONAL GRADUATE TEACHER STANDARDS	
4.	RATIONALE FOR THE INCLUSION OF CONTENT 5BT/F2r1t1DENTCT	

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

Outcome		Assessment/s
1	Demonstrate an ability to explain and discuss philosophical issues, such as epistemological questions, in relation to social and educational research	1, 2

2

4. RATIONALE FOR THE INCLUSION OF CONTENT AND TEACHING APPROACH

Research in education is relevant to all educational contexts and to all teachers. In this course you will explore and critique a variety of published research texts, building your skills, engaging with, making use of, and critiquing existing research. The development of your theoretical understanding and analytical skills is a key MEd program outcome. But this course positions teachers as producers as well as consumers of educational research, and beyond engaging with existing research, you will learn skills for conducting a small qualitative research project relevant to your own teaching context(s). The development of your procedural as well as declarative knowledge is therefore the core outcome of the course and is prioritized throughout.

Teaching on the course is driven by your critical engagement with readings and the core textbook. In class discussions, centred around the key readings, allow for theory and analysis to be developed but also applied, with the aim of producing active, engaged student-researchers who will subsequently be well equipped to apply the knowledge and skills developed in their own teaching/research contexts.

5. TEACHING STRATEGIES

Guided reading, small group discussions, whole-group discussions and clarification of key concepts . these support the development and application of your skills and understandings outlined above.

7. RESOURCES

Required Readings

8. ASSESSMENT

Assessment Task	Length	Weight	Student Learning Outcomes Assessed	Program Learning Outcomes Assessed	Due Date
-----------------	--------	--------	---	------------------------------------	----------

Assessment Details

Assessment 1: Response to published research

- 2. Briefly describe c@ Á^•^æ&@Á ^c@ åÁ•^寧 Á æ&@Á æ} ^¦Ææ} åÁ æ&@Á æ} ^¦Ææ} åÁ æ} ÅÄ æå Áã åã *ÐÁ
 (e.g., ±c@á Áã ÁæÁ *æjáæãç^Á,æj ^¦Ás@æÁ •^åÁ c*å^} cÁ; & *Á¹;[*]•Áæ) åÁā; c^¦]¦^Œãç^ÁåæææÁæ) æjî•ãiÁ
 to show thatō đD
- 3. Explain why you chose these two papers and provide a personal response.

Assessment length: 1500 words (approx. 700 words each + intro and conclusion)

Assessment 2: Critical evaluation of qualitative research study designs

This task requires you to critically analyse and suggest and defend improvements to the study designs of **three** published qualitative studies from the educational research literature which you will select. In addition to the three points listed above:

- 1. For each paper, critique the research method used as it relates to the topic. what are the strengths and weaknesses of using this method for this research problem, what other way/s might have been better, and how might you have approached the research problem? Consider methodological issues such as positionality and ethics
- 2. Comment briefly on the writing and layout of the paper: to what extent did you find it easy or

UNSW SCHOOL OF EDUCATION FEEDBACK SHEET EDST5120 QUALITATIVE RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Student Name: Student No.:

Assessment Task 1: Response to published research

Understanding of the question or issue and the key concepts involved Summaries of texts; \^] \^•^\ cast \(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1},	
understanding of issues in research methodologies used Depth of analysis in response to the task	
Depth of analysis in response to the task Analysis and discussion of texts; explanation of choice of texts Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used to support response Use of supporting texts to evidence argument; familiarity with arguments relevant to texts chosen Structure and organisation of response	
Analysis and discussion of texts; explanation of choice of texts Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used to support response Use of supporting texts to evidence argument; familiarity with arguments relevant to texts chosen Structure and organisation of response	
Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used to support response Use of supporting texts to evidence argument; familiarity with arguments relevant to texts chosen Structure and organisation of response	
Use of supporting texts to evidence argument; familiarity with arguments relevant to texts chosen Structure and organisation of response	
Use of supporting texts to evidence argument; familiarity with arguments relevant to texts chosen Structure and organisation of response	
relevant to texts chosen Structure and organisation of response	
appropriateness of overall structure of the response to the task	
clarity and coherence of response to the task, including use of section headings, introductions, transitions, and summaries to enhance readability	
Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic	
conventions	
Use of referencing conventions; accuracy and appropriateness of academic English; clarity of writing Compliance with word length requirements	

Lecturer Date

Recommended: /20 (FL PS CR DN HD) Weighting: 40%

UNSW SCHOOL OF EDUCATION