

School of Education

EDST5308 Teacher Learning

Term 2 2021

7. **RESOURCES**

Compulsory Readings for online workshop 1

Kennedy, M. M. (2016). How Does Professional Development Improve Teaching? Review of Educational Research, 86(4), 945-980. doi:10.3102/0034654315626800 Lindvall, J., & Ryve

Additional readings

Langer, G., & Colton, A. (2005). Looking at Student Work. Educational Leadership, February 2005, 22-26. National School Reform Faculty. ATLAS-Learning from Student Work. Retrieved from <u>http://www.nsrfharmony.org/protocol/doc/atlas_lfsw.pdf</u>

Compulsory readings for online workshop 4

Kane, M. T., & Staiger, D. O. (2012). Gathering Feedback for Teaching. Combining High-

UNSW SCHOOL OF EDUCATION FEEDBACK SHEET EDST5308 TEACHER LEARNING

Student Name:

Student No.:

Assessment Task: Critical analysis of a teacher professional learning program

| SPECIFIC CRITERIA | | (-)h | | | | |
|--|--|------|--|---|--|--|
| Understanding of the question or issue and the key concepts involved | | () | | | | |
| х | Interpretation of question and evidence. | | | | | |
| х | Analysis and evaluation of salient arguments. | | | | | |
| х | Drawing of warranted conclusions. | | | | | |
| Depth | of analysis and/or critique in response to the task | | | | | |
| Х | Evidence of high order analytic skills. | | | | | |
| х | Reasoning of argument/s. | | | | | |
| Famili | arity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used | | | | | |
| to support response | | | | | | |
| Х | Understanding of theory and research including references to contemporary literature on professional learning models and strategies. | | | | | |
| х | Evidence of critical reading. | | | | | |
| Struct | Structure and organisation of response | | | | | |
| х | Planning and structure of argument. | | | | | |
| х | Persuasiveness and cohesiveness of argument. | | | | | |
| Preser | ntation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic | | | | | |
| conve | ntions | | | | | |
| х | Clarity of written expression. | | | | | |
| х | Claims supported by sources/evidence. | | | | | |
| х | Referencing of sources using APA style & completeness of reference list. | | | | | |
| GENE | RAL COMMENTS/RECOMMENDATIONS FOR NEXT TIME | • | | • | | |

UNSW SCHOOL OF EDUCATION FEEDBACK SHEET EDST5308 TEACHER LEARNING

Student Name:

Student No.:

Assessment Task: 2a – Oral presentation to the class

| | | (-) h | | | |
|--|--------|-------|--|--|--|
| Understanding of the question or issue and the key concepts involved | | | | | |
| x Demonstration of a creative & high level of understanding of the topic. | | | | | |
| Depth of analysis and/or critique in response to the task | | | | | |
| x Plausibility of proposal. | | | | | |
| Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used | | | | | |
| to support response | | | | | |
| x Demonstration of a synthesis of new knowledge acquired in this course. | | | | | |
| Structure and organisation of response | | | | | |
| x Organisation of elevator pitch | | | | | |
| Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic | | | | | |
| conventions | | | | | |
| x Clarity of speech and communication with the audience. | | | | | |
| x Engagement with audience. | | | | | |
| GENERAL COMMENTS/RECOMMENDATIONS FOR NEXT TIME | | | | | |
| Lecturer Date Recommended: /20 (FL PS CR DN HD) Weig | hting: | 10% | | | |

NB: The ticks in the various boxes are designed to provide feedback to students; they are not given equal weight in determining the recommended grade. Depending on the nature of the assessment task, lecturers may also contextualize and/or amend these specific criteria. The recommended grade is tentative only, subject to standardisation processes and approval by the School of Education Learning and Teaching Committee.

UNSW SCHOOL OF EDUCATION FEEDBACK SHEET EDST5308 TEACHER LEARNING

Student Name:

Student No.:

Assessment Task: 2b - Detailed proposal for a professional learning program

| SPECIFIC CRITERIA Understanding of the question or issue and the key concepts involved | | (-) h (+) | | | | |
|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| x Interpretation of question and evidence. | | | | | | |
| x Analysis and evaluation of salient arguments. | | | | | | |
| Depth of analysis and/or critique in response to the task | | | | | | |
| x Evidence of high order analytic skills. | | | | | | |
| x Reasoning of argument/s. | | | | | | |
| Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used | | | | | | |
| to support response | | | | | | |
| x Understanding of theory and research including references to contemporary literature on professional learning models and strategies. | | | | | | |
| x Demonstration of a synthesis of new knowledge acquired in this course. | | | | | | |
| x Evidence of critical reading. | | | | | | |
| Structure and organisation of response | | | | | | |

x Planning and structure of argument.

Х