Stiffing digital carety definitions caret considerations in Australian and US taxlaw

Digital curercy as an intergible asset is designed, in part, to circumsent the reach of regulatory bodies. Assuch, the energence of this asset integlobal markets requires tax regulators to be particularly ninhibe with respect to regulation.

This atticle reviews the rapidly slifting regulatory kardscaped dhiu M cotney, yucirthi "uitds

concludes that the regulation of digital currency medis to be increasingly proactive, as the placement of new market products under an existing definitional figure work leads to inconsistencies in regulatory application. Will etak achimistration will not dive stabilisation of the digital number place tax achimistrators might consider that regulation of digital currency, as a new hybridina applicasset in a global narried place, may provide an approximative to consider forward thirding global hamorisation.

: taztion deital curercy regulation bitcoin intareible assets

eJournal of Tax Research

Digital cureroies are 'distributed open source, maths based peer to peer cureroies

fordigital canency is high ¹¹ availety of regulatory agencies including the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) and the US Internal Revenue Service (IRS) are increasing enforcement activities ¹²

Taxing regimes often nove nore quickly than other regulatory schemes to define certain transactions as taxable events to ensure that the government is both fairly and equitably taxing citizens' activities, and that the coffess of the government are not being shorted by failing to collect tax out axable activities. ¹³ The taxation of dejtal convertes and dejtal convery transactions are no exception.

The curent governmental taxation financouck in Australia and the US are two stable governmental systems, with worldwide taxation reporting requirements for their citizens. Thus, citizens (tax residents) of the US and Australia are required to report their imponence and descriptions.

Taxlawinboth contries geneally dictates filing on an arrual basis. Due to the need to describe a taxable event with a discrete valuation, tax laws work best for both taxpayers and assessing agents when terms are specific, measurable, archeck either to numerical value. ¹⁵

Futher, because all governments are funded in large part by taxes, governments are generally vested in arti-avoid anciency lations and actin fairly apid fast ion to drawing market trends. Thus, as tax professionals must next, on an armal basis, to new governmental directives on behalf of their dients, the effects of drawing tax negations are an attend immediate implementation.

As digital concry becomes a well-known investment which earlituding commodity, extreme dange is occurring in the firm dial industry, ¹⁶ an industry that is both highly regulated and particularly risk averse. As such, governments that moritor and regulate firm dial markets have taken initial steps to define and regulate digital concruies. With the release of rewpool at s, consumers and businesses face rewoon ideations in risk

¹¹ Cryptocurercy holdes are likely to be impacted by increasing IRS soutiny accordite cryptocurercy activities see, eg LauaShin, 'Firancial Spring Clearing For Bitcoin, Save All Records', (11 April 2017), available at: https://www.forbes.com/sites/lauashin/2017/04/11/firancial-spring clearing for bitcoin save all-records/#308teod/fc01 (accessed 9. January 2019).

¹² See eg recert US litigation based on a'. Han Doe' subpoera in , (US District Court, N. Dist. Ca. 2017). 2014;VI.4572121, 413 CV-416; 17 cv-01431-18C; requesting Coinhase provide information relating totransactions over USD 2000 vylane 1099 kneports have not been filled.

¹³ See, eg Australian Taxation Office, 'Diverted Profits Tax', https://www.atogox.au/general/new legislation/indetail/direct-taxes/income taxafor husinesses/diverted profits tax?=reclirected (accessed 9 January 2019; Organisation Š N+ Ē ac š on] dissor

of Division 775⁵⁵ of the Imome Tax Assessment Act 1997?, states that bitcoin is not a foreign curercy. This Determination considered whether bitcoin is foreign curercy, or 'curercy' for imome tax purposes in circumstances where the termis not defined in the tax Assessment Acts.

In reading the conclusion that digital canerry is not foreign canerry, the Commissioner considers the legal meaning of the term canerry' with reference to the

(Curery Act). The term was explained in the case of 27 and judicial commentary of the term curery's focuses on the rotion that curery's consists of rotes are circof demonirations expressed as units of account of accountry ardisiss and under the laws of that country focuses a medium of exchange of wealth. With reference to the Curery Act, the Commissioner rotes that the 'critical demonster of the Curery Act's correct of "curery" is State recognition and adoption of a moretary unit under law. That is, bitcoin is not a moretary unit recognised and (legally) adopted by foreign states and can therefore not be 'foreign curery' for the purposes of Division 75 of the . The Commissioner, as a result, confirms and concludes that 'bitcoin does not constitute "curery" nor "foreign curery" in the context in which those terms operate for the purposes of Australian tax law. The context in which those terms operate for the purposes of Australian tax law.

In relation to CST, the Commissioner initially ruled in CSTR 2014/3, 'Goods and services tax the GST implications of transactions involving bitcoin (withdrawn December 2017)³¹ that as hitcoin was not defined as money, the exclusion in the

(CSTAd) for suplies of 'noney' dd not apply and that, as a result, the supply of bitcoin would be tazable. In this Ruling the Commissioner also determined that the supply of bitcoin was not a financial supply, or

ayothertypeofinput taxed supply.

While there are nany tests as to what may constitute property and propietary rights, the Commissioner states that, in relation to bitcoin neo

b) the burdle of rights (hereafter referred to as 'Bitcoin holding rights') as oibed to a person with a coss to the bitcoin under the Bitcoin software and by the community of Bitcoin users $^{\circ}$

Popety geneally is capable of ownest ip and the ownest ip rights of popety are transferable. That is, the owner candral with an item in the name in which they wish, and popety rights detail the legal relationship over that item. A determination of whether something constitutes property requires a weighting of various factors, being

it y,

Anealier investigation into Craig Wright was undertaken in 2016 by the Australian Federal Police and the ATO in relation to alleged substantial transactions in gold, software and bitcoin but, as of early 2018, he has not yet faced charges. ⁵⁵

Futher, ardiformanue great paspective, even in the absence of cininal drags in relation to the use of digital curery, the ease unbated yillegal transactions being color facilitation of the digital cureries. Figure 1 is a light of the color of the color

shatathe

Therever, however, definitional restrictions on the inclusion of digital convery within the existing framework

The AML Act operates to regulate 'noney', a term within which digital conercies could be included for the purposes of regulation. The AML Act had defined noney to include 'e conercy', which is defined to be an 'internet based, electronic means of exchange that is backed either directly or indirectly by precious metal, bullion crafting prescribed by the AML/CIF Rules and is not issued by or under the authority of a government body'. G

The curent legislation includes eau encry in the definition of name, and eau encry is further defined to include degital among the incordusion recommendations were

eJural of TaxReseach

Sept. 18, 2014) (Inding that an investment of Bitcoin, a virtual cunerry, meets the first party of); , 940 F.2d at 574 ('[I]he "investment" may take the form of "goods and services", or some other "exchange of value".) (distinct consisted).

In December 2017, in released public remarks, the Chriman of the SEC, Jay Clayton, moter that no initial coin offerings have been registered as securities transactions. His statement highlights that a weaking latery environment can be both good and bad for an investor. With the weaking latery environment, much work littly comes into digital concentry value. Write most investors and regulators are experienced with the tax.

eJural of TaxResearch

eJural of TaxReseach

This aticle conducts that the regulation of digital convery needs to be increasingly practive, as the placement of new market products under an existing definitional framework for regulation leads to inconsistencies in regulatory application. Examples